

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/687,924	0/687,924 10/20/2003		Krisztian Flautner	550-463	8335		
23117	7590	05/31/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
NIXON &		•	KIM, HAROLD J				
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203			TK.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2181			

DATE MAILED: 05/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/687,924	FLAUTNER ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Harold-Kim	2181

before the rining of all Appear blief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Harold-Kim	2181						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	se of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause .					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	-	TE below);						
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be 	•	ducina or simplifyina	the issues for					
appeal; and/or			ule 155ue5 101					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		mnliant Amendment	(DTOL_324)					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s).	novable ii cubiiiiica iii a coparate,	among mod amonam	and carrooming and					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	mada bolom on appointable.							
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-8 and 10-14</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	. •							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	,							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	<u> </u>	, , ,	•					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		,						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N Supply 500	FRITZ FLEMING PRIMARY EXAMINE GROUP STO	D 3 5/14/2006					
	,	HUSISHW	•					

Continuation of 13. Other: Although the applicant alleged that the limitation of "count" value is inherent component of elements in a claim that does not require separate antecedent basis, the limitations of "count" value and "accumulated work done" value are different limitations. Thus, the applicant's argument is not persuasive.

FRITZ FLEMING PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 2100